

General Description of Event

EACCME Event Number:	12957
Official Title of the CME Event:	47th Congress of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology
Website:	http://www.siop2015.kenes.com/
Event Dates:	8-11 October 2015
Location (City/Country):	Cape Town, South Africa

Participation, Credits Claimed, Certificates Issued

Anticipated Number of Participants:

As submitted to EACCME upon application.

1500

Actual Number of Participants:

1434

Number of Certificates Issued to Date:

Indicate the number of participants that claimed credit and received a certificate at the time of this report (online system remains available). Attach the Credits Claimed spreadsheet.

441

Percentage of Participants Receiving Certificate:

31%

Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest (COI)

Number of Potential Conflicts of Interest Reported by Committee and Faculty/Speakers:

Indicate the number of committee members and faculty/speakers that reported a COI. Attach final Disclosure/COI spreadsheets.

12

Concerns of COI/Bias by Participants:

Include evaluation results to the question of bias/conflict of interest.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The accredited content was balanced, objective, and free from commercial bias.	190	293	8	1
Commercial bias comments/concerns: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A great conference Absolutely none! AI was very good Most of the sessions were almost empty. I have been attending SIOP since Montevideo. This is the most empty SIOP i haver ever attended. No comercial bias noft applicable to me Nothing significant one presentation written in the schedule auditorium 2 and discover it in auditorium 2 Poster pdf delivery on email from screens and contactzing autors did not work.. I sent several email to authors of posters and sent also some downloading to my email but no one was received...technical or software issue? Can I contact them through you? there are not available emails on authors....how can I reach them please? poster should be displayed on board the massive building made attending the joint MAJOR spekers presentations ant rememebr the correct workingd difficult the room for the IPSO meeting was too small There were no biased commercial comments I got a wind of. 				

Evaluation Results and Summary

Number of Evaluations Collected:

511

Percentage of Participants that Evaluated Event:

36%

Main Conclusions:

Provide a short summary of key points drawn from evaluations: usefulness, educational value, quality of content, quality of speakers, etc. Attach complete Evaluation Results Summary.

USEFULNESS

According to the filled in evaluation forms there were in general sufficient opportunities to network with colleagues. From the 511 filled in evaluations forms, 424 (83%) participants will make changes to their professional practice based on what they learned at the congress. For 464 (90.8%) participants that filled in the evaluation, this educational event will improve their professional performance.

EDUCATIONAL VALUE

The learning objectives are being met by most participants. According to the filled in evaluation forms, the learning objectives were met by the following percentages of total participants:

- Learning objective 1: Address individual needs in compliance with their Continuous Professional Development (CPD) plan: 96.3% of the participants
- Learning objective 2: Discuss recent research in pediatric oncology: 97.7% of the participants
- Learning objective 3: Identify treatment options for all cancer types, including leukemia and brain tumours, in children and young people: 97.5% of the participants
- Learning objective 4: Assess new technologies and their potential for more effective work practices and better patient outcomes: 95.3% of the participants
- Learning objective 5: Establish an international network of international pediatric oncologists, as well as paediatric surgeons, radiation specialists, haematologists, and specialist nurses: 97.3% of the participants
- Learning objective 6: Evaluate the latest research outcomes in haematology, Solid Non Brain Tumours and Brain Tumours in children and young people: 95.4% of the participants

Participants acknowledge the importance of CME/CPD credits. CME accreditation is for 66.5% of the participants that filled in the evaluation a reason to attend SIOP 2015.

QUALITY OF CONTENT

In general most topics were covered. Some comments that we received:

- Excellent PODC sessions
- I didn't like the fact that in most sessions there weren't enough time for questions and answers.
- I liked the diversity in the subjects introduced in the sessions
- I loved the networking as the conference is a place where we as survivors and parents come to repair ourselves, cry and breakdown, since we have no opportunity to do so when assisting patients.
- I was disappointed in the way that the Posters were displayed.
- It was good to see more work in the developing and underdeveloped world.
- like most was very good discussion after oral presentation
- very good organization

Future topics:

- hearing and cognitive deficits as late effects
- I think should be more space and titles for PODC
- New treatments segment
- Palliative care
- Pediatric oncology

QUALITY OF SPEAKERS

The quality of most speakers was good. 96.6% of the participants that filled in the evaluation agree that the quality of the speakers was excellent.

Attachments (pdf only)

- ☒ Evaluation Results Summary
- ☒ Credits Claimed Spreadsheet
- ☒ Committee Disclosure/COI Spreadsheet
- ☒ Faculty/Speaker Disclosure/COI Spreadsheet
- ☐ Other, please indicate:

SIOP 2015 Evaluation - General questions

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree.					
Answer Options	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Response Count
The event was well organised	277	216	2	2	497
Organisational staff were helpful	252	237	7	2	498
The audio visual was appropriate	231	235	24	5	495
The venue was appropriate for this event	309	178	9	2	498
There were sufficient opportunities to meet poster presenters	98	242	115	36	491
For poster presenters: my poster received adequate exposure	58	177	64	32	331
There were sufficient opportunities during sessions for discussion and questions	155	289	48	3	495
There were sufficient opportunities to network with colleagues	218	258	18	1	495
The quality of the speakers / faculty was excellent	207	273	16	1	497
The quality of the scientific / educational content was excellent	191	284	19	2	496
Overall, the educational event met my expectations	193	281	20	2	496
I would recommend this educational event to others	247	238	10	1	496
I will attend this educational event again	251	230	15	2	498
This activity increased my professional competencies	178	286	29	1	494
Participating in this educational event will improve my professional performance	176	288	26	1	491
I will make changes to my professional practice based on what I learned	128	296	66	3	493
The accredited content was balanced, objective, and free from commercial bias (Commercial bias is defined as a personal judgment in favor of a specific product or service of a commercial interest)	190	293	8	1	492
Commercial bias comments or concerns:					31
answered question					499
skipped question					12

Commercial bias comments or concerns:

A great conference

Absolutely none!

AI was very good

Most of the sessions were almost empty. I have been attending SIOP since Montevideo. This is the most empty SIOP I have ever attended.

No commercial bias

not applicable to me

Nothing significant

one presentation written in the schedule auditorium 2 and discover it in auditorium 2

Poster pdf delivery on email from screens and contactzing autors did not work.. I sent several email to authors of posters and sent also some downloading to my email but no one was received...technical or software issue? Can I contact them through you? there are not available emails on authors....how can I reach them please?


poster should be displayed on board

sss

the massive building made attending the joint MAJOR spekers presentations ant rememebr the correct workidng difficult


the room for the IPSO meeting was too small

There were no biased commercial comments I got a wind of.



SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Educational objectives

Please indicate if you feel the educational objectives were met.						
Answer Options	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Rating Average	Response Count
Address individual needs in compliance with their Continuous Professional Development (CPD) plan	146	316	17	1	1,74	480
Discuss recent research in pediatric oncology.	201	274	10	1	1,61	486
Identify treatment options for all cancer types, including leukemia and brain tumours, in children and young people.	183	290	10	2	1,65	485
Assess new technologies and their potential for more effective work practices and better patient outcomes.	169	295	22	1	1,70	487
Establish an international network of international pediatric oncologists, as well as paediatric surgeons, radiation specialists, haematologists, and specialist nurses.	220	252	12	1	1,58	485
Evaluate the latest research outcomes in haematology, Solid Non Brain Tumours and Brain Tumours in children and young people.	163	296	19	3	1,71	481
<i>answered question</i>						491
<i>skipped question</i>						20



SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Future topics

Please indicate any topic areas or workplace challenges you'd like addressed in future events.	
Answer Options	Response Count
	121
<i>answered question</i>	121
<i>skipped question</i>	390

Response Text

- Care of international patients
 - Ensuring solid evidence in research of rare cancers
 - Psychological impact of cancer therapy received in the first 5 years of life.
 THE CLINICAL ASPECTS OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF CHILDHOOD MALIGNANCIES .

1. Some more inclusion of laboratory techniques and their current relevance
 2. Poster exposure was miniscule. Delegates would hardly take the effort to manually select and view each poster from the kiosk. No personal discussion/ exposure on the poster. Very little interaction whatsoever between poster presenter and viewer.
 ACUTE MYELOID LEUKAEMIA
 Aggressive vascular benign tumor: Hemangioendothelioma, ...Midline Nerve Carcinoma
 ALL, CNS tumors, adrenocortical tumors
 Bmt
 Bone sarcomas
 Brain tumors, unusual soft tissue tumors
 Brain Tumours , radiotherapy
 Cancer in Community Pediatrics and chain of referrals
 Cape Town was a beautiful venue for SIOP!
 challenges in pediatric oncology setup
 Challenges to research funding in low/middle income countries
 Clinical ethics in pediatric oncology
 Computer problems were constant and frequent during the event.
 Continue discussions/presentations on immunotherapy.
 continued focus on pediatric oncology in developing countries
 Coping strategies or ways to help families cope

Currently in my country of practice, all paediatric oncology cases are not covered by national health insurance. Affordability is a problem in treatment abandonment is the order of the day. Please highlight this area in the next SIOP Congress.

,
 Discuss more solid tumors
 Efforts at improving early identification of childhood cancers in lower and middle income countries
 ePoster only is not sufficient. All Posters should be presented at a poster wall throughout the meeting. Detection of interesting work by walking through the poster area is an important tool. Neither the presenters nor their work were well recognized. ePosters in addition is useful to take the most interesting home, no need to take photographs.
 Ewing sarcoma pnet
 Focus on clinical relevance adapted to developing countries
 Funding of paediatric oncology care in Sub Saharan Africa.
 H
 hearing and cognitive deficits as late effects

hearing loss and cognitive deficits as late effects

HSCT

I think should be more space and titles for PODC...maybe give a parallel conference because the HIC have a very different focusses and option than us. Not let PODC just for a "pre-congress or pre-meeting"

I would appreciate even more lectures about the psychosocial aspects of children's cancer.

i would like more highlights on treatment options and collaborations in resource poor countries

I would like to attend more topics on pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia as its the most common cancer we see in our practice. and solutions to solve problems with it

I would like to see a focused session on emerging immune therapies for cancer.

I would like us to discuss more on the issue of detainment of patients as it seems to be an issue in some countries. the other issue is nutrition as i feel it should never be left out in the care of patients also an emphasis be made on nutrition for survivors. Increased exposure to the psychological impact on the pt, family n communities of interest. infection control in pediatric oncology for nurses..

IPSO session session should be allotted bigger space

It is difficult with developed and developing countries all within one conference as some countries are far behind others in terms of access to medications/equipment etc so some things were not relevant to those who already are developed countries. It would be great to have some/more pharmacist involvement and/or targeted sessions (as there is for nursing).

it would be nice to see some/more pharmacist targeted topics, similar to what is available for nurses

let's hear more about new tracers and technologies to image tumors.

Long term follow up approaches in different cancers

Long-term neurocognitive (neuropsychological) outcome in childhood brain tumor survivors. I'd suggest to have a symposium organized on the subject.

Metronomic chemotherapy in pediatric malignancies Pediatric palliative care

Metronomics - DIPG

More about long term and quality of life

More attention to rare tumors

More discussion with the experts in treatment of Neuroblastoma.

More nursing issues. Management of oncology patients at the nurses' level. More on education rather than just research.

more on nursing care reasch

More on targeted therapy and therapeutic options for small bad prognosis groups of diseases

Initiatives to promote international cooperation to treat those small groups of disease

General information on ongoing main trials of international cooperative groups

More on the public health angle- i.e. long term planning and developing long term systems competence for childhood cancer control

More on Traditional healers

More paper on haematologic malignancy and solid tumor should from underdeveloped country.

More Pathology please

more real time opinions forum needed internationally especially for rare tumours

More surgical "new" procedure

More targete therapy

more topics on pediatric oncology in low and middle income countries

more virtual MDTs or international reviews needed for real time management

muslim prayer area

need to keep cell and immunotherapy as a theme

neuroblastoma treatment for brain tumor

New treatments segment

nonrhabdo soft tissue sarcoma, targeted therapy and immunotherapy therapy in solid tumors

Nothing specific

Nurses to be trained in pediatric oncology to reduce work place challenges.

Nursing care of the cancer child from the developing countries. NB. Most of these countries don't even have the right professionals to do what they are doing

Nutrition

Nutrition for children with cancer in Africa

Outcome based studies on neuropsychological aspects of treatment

PALLIATIVE CARE,

Palliative care in resource poor countries

Palliative care, cachexia, HIV-related malignancies, inherited cancer sy

PBT in PODC

Pediatric oncology in developing countries

Pediatric oncology treatment opportunities AT HOME.

PEDIATRIC SARCOMAS AND NEUROBLASTOMA

pharmacogenomics

please include more practice oriented research than technology.

Pnet

Practical' Palliative Care advice - the nurses day was interesting but I would have liked to have more information or discussion around 'how to' do things like - breaking bad news, discussing end of life options, how to talk to parents when they don't want to face end of life. Practical advice.

Psychological health status of healthcare professionals working on paediatric oncology wards

Rare sarcomas

Rare tumors Tumors associated syndromes

Relapse cases (all types of oncology

retinoblastoma current treatment and new drugs

Role of nutrition in Paediatric Oncology How to start a study

School trajectories of young brain tumor survivors

Secondary hemosiderosis after treatment Immunisation after treatment

siblings and carers

long term follow up

reactions for newly diagnosed and

how the new oncology nurse deals with their work

Soft Tissue sarcoma

sss

State of the art symposia on AML! ALL, NHL and HD need to be annual features.

Protocols need to be made more widely available.

Education book needs to be published again

studies from various collaborative groups other than COG

Supportive care, hemophagocytosis, vascular lesions

Survivorship, Information Technology.

The above is not applicable as I am not in the medical but support services field.

The electronic posters don't work as a concept, only 1 person can look at a time and can't have informal discussions around them.

The fact that we had to pay for the Thursday education day -strongly feel that the plenary/lecture sessions should be freely accessible.

The meeting was well balanced.

The scientific content was lacking in SIOP-Cape Town, as compared to earlier SIOP's. There was a dearth of good speakers. The proportion of local speakers (South Africa) was disproportionately high. There was a lack of 'topic review' talks, which are often very well attended. Maintenance of audio-visual service was poor. The ePosters must be replaced with hard copy posters - gives one more time and chance to go around to look at them. Merely 10 ePosters monitors is too small a number for 1500 delegates. Newer technology is not necessarily better. The sessions run very late and dinners run too late. We need more time to balance work at home and sleep so we better absorb meeting needs. There was so much choice it was difficult to attend all the talks I wanted to. This meeting is never of the highest academic value and most cutting edge research would not be presented here out of first choice. Nevertheless the multidisciplinary aspects are superb and as a networking meeting is of great value. I would continue to focus on aspects of clinical practice/care

Thoracic and abdominal tumors

To know more on palliative care and oncology emergencies.

To learn more about palliative care and render good services to my clients

TRANSPLANT

Treatment of Neuroblastoma in resource challenged nation without any biologic variables
treatment protocols discussion for common protocols and common diseases like leukemia
Would appreciate access to educational lectures for those not totally engaged in specific diagnosis. I also would appreciate events where the actual/implemented guidelines for specific diagnosis were briefly summarized making the audience "up to date", and use those lectures as discussion forums for tentative improvements or adjustments in the protocols etc.
would like to know more about the patient detention talk.



SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Miscellaneous

Tell us what you think! What did you like most and/or least? Anything else you wish to tell us?

Answer Options	Response Count
	154
<i>answered question</i>	154
<i>skipped question</i>	357

Response Text

I really enjoyed the talk about patient detention i was not aware that there is such. The talk was an eye opener.

1. PODC must have more partners from regional centres. There were far more organisers than participants

2. Education day quality needs to be more up to date to cover both the young and senior physicians

3. SIOP seemed to concentrate more on networking rather than education - this can be done in parallel with equal justice to both fronts

Allocation of sometime for sight seeing would be good, but the organization was generally good. App was good apart from where punctuation used in abstracts - was translated as 5 random characters.

AV was poor first morning of IPSO.

Audiovisual had problems in IPSO sessions and staff couldn't fix easily

Poster presentation did not work well in IPSO session and staff couldn't fix easily so time wasted in a very short session

Beautiful venue!

Being a pediatric surgeon the IPSO sessions were most valuable to me

Brilliantly organised, fantastic LOC and a great atmosphere. Well done to the Cape Town team. Best conference dinner I have been to.

Catering could have been improved

Consider keynote discussion on bridging the gap in pediatric oncology care between high and middle income countries.

Contra: To few randomised results or comparative studies presented probably due to concurrent tumor specific dedicated congress.

Pros : The important time between sessions to discuss and share with colleagues

Difficult to understand some people, but that is par for the course.

discuss ongoing trials, interim results etc internationally

discussions with my colleagues all over the world

Don't clash with COG again please! The number of US attendees was understandably disappointing

e-poster is a good way of presentaiton and saves a lot of poster paper wastage. All posters should be given a discussion time.

ePosters did not work well at all; there were far too few poster viewing stations, and there was essentially no opportunity to interact with poster presenters. Also, some of the rooms for the sessions were overflowing and some were practically empty. This could have been avoided, especially the ones where the rooms were overflowing. The venue was outstanding and Cape Town was wonderful. Meeting quality was very high. Frustrating that educational sessions required extra fees.

ePosters meant that there was little exposure to each poster and also made it difficult to identify the authors of each poster (compared with a standard poster session where the authors will often stand with the poster). The guidelines for poster presentation were unclear - perhaps more detail about what is required from this session - summarise your paper or discuss other work around the paper? Otherwise a truly excellent meeting.

Everything was fine

Excellent

Excellent congress

Excellent PODC sessions

Extend number of days of congress and reduce the number of topics for the day.

EXTRACRANIAL SOLID TUMORS

Fantastic conference! Only critique is too few exhibition stands:)

Fantastic venue and excellent organisation

Format of the industry symposia

Good networking opportunities

Great catering!

Great conference and Cape Town was a top location!

great conference, very diverse in so many ways, thank you

Great congress even for non clinical doctors (I am more involved in public health). Topics in epidemiology were extremely interesting like those concerning high and low income countries.

great meeting!

I continue to have a problem with audiovisual in IPSO conferences

I did not like the way the eposters were presented. There were not enough stations and so it was very difficult to get access to one. Also it would have been better for networking if I had been able to talk to the author of a poster at the time of viewing it in order to ask questions.

I didn't like the fact that in most sessions there weren't enough time for questions and answers.

I enjoyed the meeting. Nothing I did not like, really

I found the way the posters were presented very dissatisfying. I found it difficult to get access to the electronic poster boards to have a look around (only 10 people looking at any given time), and if your poster was not selected for one of the demonstration sessions, it got very little attention at all! I had no chance to meet any people interested in my poster or to discuss aspects of it. For the future, I would strongly recommend to return to the classic, paper based presentation boards where people can stroll long and start discussions spontaneously.

I guess the venue should be studied before carefully. Cape Town is a very far and expensive place for almost all the attenders, including people from Africa

I LIKE BOTH THE CONTENTS AND ORGANIZATION

I like most meet the expert Acute Myeloid Leukaemia and Symposium 05: ALL: STATE OF THE ART

I liked the diversity in the subjects introduced in the sessions

I liked the group sessions for nurses. but for the food, the list talk about it the better session on immunotherapy very much. in my opinion it was the best lecture i attended in this congress.

I liked very much the immunotherapy session

I loved doing my first oral presentation at SIOP! Thanks for the opportunity.

I loved everything about the conference, because it was my first time i would definitely attend another of the sort, sometimes it was difficult to understand other speakers the accents were complicated but it was worth it being there.

I loved the networking as the conference is a place where we as survivors and parents come to repair ourselves, cry and breakdown, since we have no opportunity to do so when assisting patients.

I noticed that for the sessions on PODC, only countries involved in twinning programmes are taken into consideration, while others are just like spectators. I think they also need to be encouraged to join such program for the benefits of the children with cancer

I particularly enjoyed the nursing 'round the table' sessions. This provided a great opportunity to share experiences and knowledge.

I did not like the way the posters were presented. It was very difficult to get any time at a station and there was no opportunity to talk to the author at the time of viewing the poster to ask questions.

I really did not like the poster format. 5 minutes isn't enough to present and receive feedback. It inhibited interactions with other meeting goers and forced people to look up posters. The usual poster session format is much more beneficial for the presenters and viewers.

I think the next Congress should have cheaper hotels to allow more participants. The venue was great and Cape Town was great city to visit.

I was really disappointed in the way that the Posters were displayed. There were not sufficient stands available to view the Posters during busy times. I would have preferred to have been able to walk from poster to poster to see what was there, rather than having to hunt out the topic I was interested in. Also, it would be helpful to highlight what the judges are looking for in order to understand how to win in the future.

I wish newly the educational book and more support from industries, the exhibition is poor

I would like to participate in workshop sessions like the osteosarcoma symposium, that though it was sponsored, it was great for discussion. May be some early bird sessions on hot topics as the ones held some years ago where you can hear experts on these topics. The point is that you can not only ask, you can discuss.

In these days where information is widely available in the web, meeting the experts and sharing experience is what these meetings are worth for.

In my opinion the more traditional poster section promotes more opportunity to meet the poster presenters as well as more adequate exposure when compared with the electronic view

In many of the sessions there were too many speakers and speakers did not stick to time, limiting time for questions

Industry based symposia were very similar to those held in previous years and were not very helpful.

International networking and support fantastic!

Poster exposure needs more consideration for exposure and interaction with authors.

Is to give the certificate of participation or attendance immediately in or after finishing the congress

It was awesome time in the conference

It was good to see more work in the developing and underdeveloped world.

Place and food was very good and people very friendly.

It was not easy to view the posters as there were not enough work stations.

It was well organised but could not attend most programmes because it was running at the same time

It would be helpful to have Wi-Fi in the meeting rooms.

it's important involve new people, Young people...i really would like to participate in first line in brain tumours group

Leukemia

leukemia sessions done by Dr. Conter and Shrappi

like most was very good discussion after oral presentation
least like was not much importance was given poster presentation and poster discussion
liked most the key note lectures!

Liked most: opportunities for networking

Liked least: something difficult to correct, to have to choose between simultaneous sessions

liked venue and scope of topics

Loved some of the parental views, really gave excellent insight into what it is like for them. It was interesting hearing about different countries experiences, however thought there was too much on the same topic, and some felt repetitive.

LUEKEMIA

maybe there were too much interesting sessions at the same moment

More discussion

more discussions and presentations on ongoing trials.

more in supportive and palliative care .

more time for Educational sessions.

most immunotherapy in neuroblastoma

most: diversity of topics least: not enough time for poster discussion

Most: Excellent lectures Least: Long lines for poster viewing

NA

Need to stress more on solid tumors

Networking event was great! Airco was cold.

Non

none

Not able to go to everything I wanted to.

Not applicable

Nursing sessions were very well organized this year. Also enjoyed the PODC sessions.

organization , place and topics

organization of event liked food arrangement specially for asians

Organizers should take special measures regarding simplify of visa issues of participants (with the govt. Of enue countries) . Specially for 3rd world countries.

Overall well arranged, informative meeting.

PODC plenaries were the most relevant.

Poster presentation should be changed to the old System with people physically presenting in front of their Posters; otherwise, there is basically no opportunity to meet the poster presenters

Posters were not adequately displayed. I feel the traditional way of displaying printed posters, though it takes more space, is better as it gives an opportunity to go through them over a cup of coffee or during meals. I don't think many would have gone thru the e-posters except confirming their own poster was looking OK!

Posters. Poster titles and authors not in Congress book. Posters were not easily accessible and should be available post congress online. In addition to poster booths should have a main screen in exhibition area with posters rotating. Difficult to meet poster presenters

Presentation by dr Grupp was the very best. Too much attention for single centre African experience

Probably too many parallel sessions, this have reduced the possibility of interaction with people not directly involved in a certain tumors. In many SIOP congresses I did not really have the possibility for isance to attend parents or PDCo sessions. In addition I had the impression that a few surgeons left the meeting when the IPSO part finished depriving some sessions of their contribution

Session leaders were overly collegial (by which I mean they let 3 of the presenters run over and then rushed the 4th to finish). People need to stick to time, and there needs to be podium timing or light system to keep folks on time as well.

Shorter presentations, more targeted to the audience. A lot of time is spend on introductions, with information that professionals in the field already know. It would be usefull to expand the 'educational value' and maybe create time to discuss treatment/protocol innovations for the near future

Simply fantastic !!! IPSO meeting was superb.

Siop in Peru I would like it.

SIOP PODC sessions

Some of the nursing presenters could not present with out a presentation and this is concerning

Some of the rooms were too small for the audience attending.

Some parallel sessions overlapped too much

Some rooms too small for sessions and other too big

FOOD educational day were terrible.

Coffee stand to be available the whole day, why only certain times, this congress cost a lot of money, least you can do is make sure those that attend get something to drink.

parking was very expensive

Some rooms were rather cold. Timekeeping was not very good - many sessions overran.
sss

Standard of guest lectures can be better

survivors sessions were good

Thanks for all, of You i Will see You in Dublin the next year

The advances that have been made by other countries in certain areas of paediatric oncology that we are lagging behind in. Something for us to strive for.

the conference was excellent. gave an opportunity to meet international experts and discuss with them

the convention center, close hotels, location. all very good.

The discussions on the metronomic chemotherapy in PODC settings

The e-poster format is probably much better for the environment and is probably the most likely format moving forward for educational meetings. However, I missed casually strolling along the posters during breaks. I found this format more challenging to do that.

Perhaps it is just that it is a new format and will take some time getting used to.

The ePosters must be replaced with hard copy posters - gives one more time and chance to go around to look at them. Merely 10 ePosters monitors for 1500 delegates is too small a number. Newer technology is not necessarily better.

Sincere efforts must go into getting good speakers, with addition of 'review of conventional topics'.

The event was very well organized.

The food was awful.

The meeting was well organised , subjects discussed were covered from different angles including social challenges

The poster presentations were poorly presented. There was no opportunity to interact with presenters or conference participants. Only ten stations were available so it was difficult to look at the posters. There was no full list of the posters in the conference booklet thus making it difficult to ascertain which poster one might want to view. Overall the poster presentation format was very poor done

The poster session as most important networking event was heavily truncated. This eposter presentation should be skipped and the old-fashioned poster session re-established.

The poster session for best posters was late in the evening and with no break after a long day.

the rooms that had the neuro-oncology sessions - were small and there were not enough places for people to sit, I would suggest that in future meetings for the neuro-oncology sessions to have larger rooms

The sessions suited all levels of Paediatric oncology practice. In addition, there was a very friendly, welcoming atmosphere and there was very good quality food in abundance. The venue was excellent

The way the posters were on line and not physically present was a good idea except there were not enough terminals to access the posters so I didn't have enough poster reading time. The ease of opting to have a poster emailed (which was sadly not an option for all posters) was a great development

The Young Investigators forum on the educational day was wonderful!

The young professional educational day was the best part. It was a great opportunity to get in contact with other people in my actual educational position

There should be access to the posters in the nurses' meeting room throughout the congress.

Otherwise it was great, everything was amazing!!!

There were far too many choices and I was generally torn between 3 presentations I wanted to attend at a given time.

There were not enough work stations to view posters

There were too many discussions on the same topic in different venues. A large meeting in one room concerning one topic lasting say half or a full day would have been better - say lymphoma or brain tumours with ALL the speakers present

Thoroughly enjoyed the whole conference, would highly recommend it.

My only comment would be we were unaware lunch was provided so missed out.

TIME KEEPING COULD HAVE BEEN MORE EXACT TO FACILITATE MOVING BETWEEN SESSIONS

To introduce and discuss new treatment protocols in pediatric oncology.

To meet you again next year :-)

And to provide better care for our patients in HIC and LMIC

Thank you for everything you have done!

To pay extra fees for some important session is not possible for physician from LMIC

Unfortunately the app was not running smoothly and a bit complicated to use.

Venue was great. Topics diverse

Venue was the best. Some of the rooms were very small and could not hold the audience. Staff was not knowledgeable

very good organization

Very impressed by people like Peter Hesselink and Elizabeth Molyneux and what they have managed to achieve under very difficult circumstances. Something for us to strive for.

Very, very happy that there was more applied research than in previous events. Relevant research that is no longer considered "relegated" to PODC.


Wifi was very poor. It should be improved.

Would have appreciated a breakfast buffet in the mornings- or at least coffee

[illegible]

SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Age

Age:		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
25-34	17,5%	84
35-44	28,7%	138
45-54	29,9%	144
55-64	19,1%	92
Over 65	4,8%	23
answered question		481
skipped question		30



SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Country


Country:		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
USA	10,3%	48
UK	9,9%	46
SOUTH AFRICA	9,4%	44
THE NETHERLANDS	6,2%	29
GERMANY	5,8%	27
INDIA	5,4%	25
BELGIUM	3,2%	15
BRAZIL	3,2%	15
TURKEY	3,2%	15
CANADA	2,6%	12
FRANCE	2,6%	12
ITALY	2,6%	12
SAUDI ARABIA	2,6%	12
SPAIN	1,9%	9
SWEDEN	1,9%	9
PAKISTAN	1,7%	8
GHANA	1,5%	7
NORWAY	1,5%	7
EGYPT	1,3%	6
ARGENTINA	1,1%	5
MEXICO	1,1%	5
QATAR	1,1%	5
AUSTRALIA	0,9%	4
AUSTRIA	0,9%	4
FINLAND	0,9%	4
ISRAEL	0,9%	4
SINGAPORE	0,9%	4
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	0,9%	4
BANGLADESH	0,6%	3
DENMARK	0,6%	3
IRELAND	0,6%	3
LEBANON	0,6%	3
MALAWI	0,6%	3
NEW ZEALAND	0,6%	3
NIGERIA	0,6%	3
COLOMBIA	0,4%	2
CZECH REPUBLIC	0,4%	2
HONG KONG S.A.R.	0,4%	2
KENYA	0,4%	2
MOROCCO	0,4%	2
OMAN	0,4%	2
PARAGUAY	0,4%	2
PERU	0,4%	2
PHILIPPINES	0,4%	2
PORTUGAL	0,4%	2
RUSSIA	0,4%	2
SWITZERLAND	0,4%	2
VENEZUELA	0,4%	2

AFGHANISTAN	0,2%	1
ALGERIA	0,2%	1
BAHAMAS	0,2%	1
BELIZE	0,2%	1
CAMEROON	0,2%	1
COSTA RICA	0,2%	1
DOMINICAN REP	0,2%	1
GREECE	0,2%	1
INDONESIA	0,2%	1
IRAN	0,2%	1
IRAQ	0,2%	1
NETHERLANDS ANTILLES	0,2%	1
POLAND	0,2%	1
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	0,2%	1
SENEGAL	0,2%	1
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	0,2%	1
SUDAN	0,2%	1
SYRIA	0,2%	1
TRINIDAD & TOBAGO	0,2%	1
TUNISIA	0,2%	1
VIRGIN ISLANDS (U.K.)	0,2%	1
ZIMBABWE	0,2%	1
<i>answered question</i>		466
<i>skipped question</i>		45

SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Primary field

Please indicate your primary field of work:

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Basic Research	2,3%	11
Clinical Practice	68,8%	331
Clinical Research	14,8%	71
Drug Development	1,0%	5
Other	12,1%	58
Regulatory	1,0%	5
answered question		481
skipped question		30



SIOP 2015 Evaluation - CME/CPD Credit

How important is CME/CPD credit to you?					
Answer Options	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Response Count
CME/CPD accreditation is an indicator of high quality education	194	245	27	6	472
Receiving CME/CPD credit is an important reason for me to attend	123	189	134	23	469
I would attend this event again, even if no CME/CPD credit was offered	176	246	46	9	477
Comments, please specify					35
<i>answered question</i>					480
<i>skipped question</i>					31

Comments, please specify

CME credits are required for Medical Council Registration renewal. Hence, it helps for a highly academic event like this (which interested people will anyways attend) to have CME credits as well.

CME is critical for the time and money spent.

CME is essential for showing it to the college and the professional bodies,

CME is needed for registration in NL!

CME/CPD is important tool for evaluation and part of these CME/CPD coming from attending abroad meetings

Credit are not recognized at a national level

credit points should be considered

For people in PODC this doesn't matter. But yes we need the certificate.

Found the conference very expensive and was shocked when I had to pay extra for the first day, and others were not charged.

I am a parent of child who died of cancer but also a Health Education Promotion Officer

I have plenty of higher education qualifications so the CME/CPD is not the reason for my attendance.

I would attend again even if the congress did not offer the CPD points, for my own professional progress.

In foreign academic centers it is very important to show that the conference one has attended has CME/CPD credits which definitely adds more value to the conference.

it is necessary education activities open for all assistance

It is the one of the main indicator

it is very essential for to get update in the recent advances in pediatric oncology from the experts

It is very important for my CV

It was a wonderful activity, programs are very tightly scheduled, due to parallel sessions missed many, which I want to attend.

It's stupid and expensive, but we need it.

Not important in our organization

not sure about attending if the talks weren't good enough for CME

Offering CME credit ensures quality in the presentations.

See above - too many venues and different days for each topic


Some good paper from ASIA and Africa should be encouraged.

This conference was a must attend even if no CPD points were awarded.

We will appreciate if certificate are given on site

Well organized

SIOP 2015 Evaluation - CME/CPD Credit




SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Updates on SIOP

How did you first hear about this event? (please mark all that apply)		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Professional organizations	44,6%	214
Congress brochures	24,8%	119
Journal	9,2%	44
Colleagues	57,3%	275
Internet event calendars	14,2%	68
Sponsors	3,5%	17
Online forums	3,8%	18
Search the internet	6,3%	30
Other, please specify	5,8%	28
<i>answered question</i>		480
<i>skipped question</i>		31

Other, please specify

Attended SIOP 2014
 During my previous SIOP attendance at Toronto
 email announcement
 email notice
 e-mails
 Form SIOP itself
 From CCI because Zimbabwe Parents Of Children With Cancer Association is a Full Member
 Have been before
 I am a member
 I am SIOP member
 I am SIOP member and I participate regularly of the SIOP congresses in the last 20 years
 I attend the meeting every year
 I have been a member of SIOP and get regular e- mails.
 I have been attending SIOP since I started my career in pediatric Oncology
 I work for CHOC South Africa
 i'm a memeber o siop
 Invited by SIOP board.
 last meeting
 member of Childhood Cancer International
 Member of SIOP
 Membership
 Previous attendance
 previous SIOP congresses
 Through CCI. The organisation I work for is a member of CCI.
 Well aware of event

SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Updates on SIOP



SIOF 2015 Evaluation - Updates on events

How do you generally stay updated on educational events in your field? (please mark all that apply)

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Professional organizations	63,8%	306
Congress brochures	25,0%	120
Journal	52,1%	250
Colleagues	58,3%	280
Internet event calendars	24,0%	115
Sponsors	4,4%	21
Online forums	13,5%	65
Search the internet	40,2%	193
Other, please specify	2,1%	10
<i>answered question</i>		480
<i>skipped question</i>		31

Other, please specify

Cure4kids

cure4kids.org

electronic mailings

Local professional forums (tumor board, grand rounds, seminars, etc.). Also annual meetings such as SIOF and ASPHO


NA

Ok

senior colleagues

twitter/facebook

www.cure4kids.org



SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Hotel selection

What consideration determined your choice of hotel?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
NA, I did not choose the hotel myself	19,5%	93
Proximity to the event venue	63,4%	303
Proximity to the city centre	9,0%	43
Price	40,8%	195
Comfort level	21,1%	101
Other, please specify	5,4%	26
answered question		478
skipped question		33

Other, please specify

an apartment: separate bedrooms, communal space to be/eat together with 4 adult colleagues.

Colleagues were staying there.

did not stay in hotel

Have own accomodation in Cape Town so main reason in coming

I chose it separate from this event

I did not stay in a hotel but in AirBNB apartment

I didn't stay in a hotel

i go where my sponsor can pay :)

I liked it much. very convenient.

I organized my stay through contacts/friends (homestay)

I slept in a friend's house

I Stay close to the venue

I was not staying in a hotel

internet availability

my colleagues

No hotel - I live in Cape Town

Previous knowlwdge from living in Cape Town

Price

Proximity and price

Proximity to the meeting hall was the most critical factor.

Safety

Stayed with a friend

Stayed with my brother



SIOP 2015 Evaluation - Hotel budget

How much did you budget for your hotel room, per night?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
NA, I did not pay for the hotel myself	22,3%	106
Under \$100	22,9%	109
\$100 - \$150	28,2%	134
\$151 to \$200	13,4%	64
Over \$200	10,1%	48
Other, please specify	3,2%	15
answered question		476
skipped question		35

Other, please specify

25 USD

did not stay in hotel

Didn't stay in a hotel

Hilton City Center was fabulous - like a boutique hotel!

I didn't stay in a hotel

I was not staying in a hotel


live locally

shared room with colleague

Stayed with a friend

Stayed with my brother

Under 50 USD



Total Credits Claimed	First Name	Last Name	Primary Degree (MD, DO, PhD, RN, APN, etc.)	E-mail
21	Maria Pilar	Abad Calvo	MD	29084mac@gmail.com
21	Maria Pilar	Abad-Calvo	MD	29084mac@gmail.com
21	abc	abc	rn	abc
19	Mohammed	Abdalla	M D	alkhatibmohammed@hotmail.com
21	Simone	Abib	MD, PhD	simoneabib@uol.com.br
21	Linda	Abramovitz		bmtskier@comcast.net
15	MOHAMMED	ABULABAN	MD	dr_abulaban@hotmail.com
18	Sandeep	Agarwala	MBBS, MCh (Pediatric Surgery)	sandpagr@hotmail.com
20	Reyhaneh	Ahangaran	Lic. Psychologist	reyhaneh.ahangaran@gmail.com
15	Verity	Ahern	MD	verity.ahern@health.nsw.gov.au
21	Naveed	Ahmad	MBBS, FRCPI	naveed.ahmad@nhs.net
18	GEHAD	AHMED	MD	gehad.taha@gmail.com
15	Syed	Ahmer Hamid	MBBS,DCH,FCPS (Peadiatrics)	sahmerhamid@gmail.com
21	SAMIR RAJA	AKEL	MD	sa37@aub.edu.lb
12	Razia	Akhtar	MD	razia_akhtar@urmc.rochester.edu
15	MORSHED	AKM AMIRUL	MD (Paediatric Haematology and Oncology)	amirul_morshed63@yahoo.com
15	Gunnar	Aksnes	MD, PhD	gunnar.aksnes@yahoo.no
21	REEMA	AL HAYEK	MD	reemahayek@hotmail.com
21	Awatif	Alanazi	MD	awalanezi@kfmc.med.sa
21	IBRAHIM	ALFAWAZ	MD	i.alfawaz@gmail.com
21	Ibrahim	Alfawaz	MD	l.alfawaz@gmail.com
20	Musa	Alharbi	MD	musaalharbi@gmail.com
21	amani	alkofide	MD	kofide@kfshrc.edu.sa
21	ANDREZA	ALMEIDA SENERCHIA	MD	andreasenerchia@hotmail.com
21	Anwnar	Al-Nassan	MD	anassan@khcc.jo
21	Anwar	Al-Nassan	MD	anassan@khcc.jo
21	Tayseer Suleiman	AlSaad	MD	tayseer7@hotmail.com
18	Fayez	Alshehri	MD	drfayez99@hotmail.com
19	Antoinette	am Zehnhoff-Dinnesen	MD Professor	am.zehnhoff@uni-muenster.de
21	Faustina	Amoako	RN	faustmoako@yahoo.com
21	Anita	Andrejeva	MD	anita.an@inbox.lv

21	ANNAPOORANI	ANNAMALAI	MD	dr.annam@yahoo.co.in
15	CELIA	ANTONELI	PhD	cantoneli@terra.com.br
21	Rojas	Argenis	Dr.	Argenis_rojas@hotmail.com
17	Michael	Armstrong	MD, PhD	michael.armstrong@duke.edu
15	Marion	Arnold	MMed(Paediatric Surgery)	marion@work.co.za
15	Daniel C.	Aronson	MD, PhD	aronson.dc@hotmail.com
21	Farina	Arreguin-Gonzalez	MD	farinaarreguin@yahoo.com
21	Erman	ATAS	MD	eatasdr@gmail.com
21	Najam Ahmed	Awan	MBBS	drnajamawan@hotmail.com
21	Parisa	Badiee	PhD	badieep@yahoo.com
21	Simon	Bailey	MBChB PhD	simon.bailey@ncl.ac.uk
21	Shazia	Bana	BScN	shazia.bana@gmail.com
18	DEEPAK	BANSAL	MD	deepakbansaldr@gmail.com
21	Sandip	Barman	MBBS	sandipbarmannrs@gmail.com
21	SANDIP	BARMAN	MBBS	sandipbarmannrs@gmail.com
21	Luisa	Basset	PhD	LBASSET@mes.upv.es
17	Tiene	Bauters	Pharm D, PhD	tiene.bauters@uzgent.be
6	Jacqueline	Bean	MA	jackie@jmbear.co.za
19	Asim	Belgaumi	MD	abelgaumi@sidra.org
13	Alta	Bence	BA Social Science	alta.bence@choc.org.za
15	Guillaume	BERGTHOLD	MD, PhD	guillaume.bergthold@gmail.com
21	Frank	Berthold	MD	frank.berthold@uk-koeln.de
21	DIPALI	BHUYAN	MBBS	bhuyan26@gmail.com
21	Marc	Bierings	MD	m.bierings@umcutrecht.nl
18	Georg	Bingel	MD	georg.bingel@t-online.de
18	Gianni	Bisogno	MD, PhD	gianni.bisogno@unipd.it
15	Bohumir	Blazek	MD	bohumir.blazek@fno.cz
18	CURT	BODKYN	MBBS	cbodkyn@gmail.com
21	Tineke	Boesten	MD	tineke.boesten@kispi.uzh.ch
12	Anna	Borjesson	MD, PhD	k.anna.borjesson@gmail.com
12	Nicola	Bortel		nbortel@gmx.de
21	Tom	Boterberg	MD PhD	tom.boterberg@ugent.be
18	Eric	Bouffet	MD	eric.bouffet@sickkids.ca
21	GOKNİL	BOYRAZ	Phd student	gknlboyraz@yahoo.com.tr
21	GÖKNİL	BOYRAZ	Ph D student	gknlboyraz@yahoo.com
21	Benedicte	Brichard	MD, PhD	benedicte.brichard@uclouvain.be
2	anita	brink	MMED	anita.brink@gmail.com

21	Aeltsje	Brinksma	Phd RN	a.brinksma@umcg.nl
12	Bruce	Broecker	MD	bbroecker2@yahoo.com
21	ZAINUNISA	BROWN	RN	jmbrown@telkomsa.net
15	Ané	Büchner	MD	ane.buchner@up.ac.za
15	Frauke	Bühning	Mrs	frauke.buhning@gmail.com
21	Michael	Capra	MD	michael.capra@olchc.ie
21	Giovanni	Cecchetto	MD	giovanni.cecchetto@unipd.it
21	MAHMUT	CELIKER	MD	myceliker@gmail.com
21	Omar	Chamdine	MD	dromcham@gmail.com
12	Hélène	Chappuy	MD	helene.chappuy@aphp.fr
21	MERCY	CHEPCHUMBA BUTIA	DIPLOMA IN CLINICAL MEDICINE	mercy.butia@gmail.com
20	Oleg	Chestnov		chestnovo@who.int
21	Salma	Choudhury		salma.choudhury@shebanet.net
21	Eva	Clemens	MSc	e.clemens@erasmusmc.nl
21	MARCELO	COIRINI	MD	mdcoirini@yahoo.com.ar
16	Louis	Constine	MD	louis_constine@urmc.rochester.edu
18	Rob	Corbett	MD	rob.corbett@cdhb.health.nz
21	Virginia Maria	COSER	MD, PhD	vmcoser@gmail.com
16	Wayne	Cottrell	MBChB	contact@drwaynecottrell.com
12	Denis	Cozzi	MD	da.cozzi@uniroma1.it
21	Silvia Marie Magaretha	Craucamp	EN	siliva.craucamp@choc.org.za
21	Maria Cecilia	Cruz	MD	celcruzmd@yahoo.com
21	Maria Cecilia	Cruz	MD	celcruzmd@yahoo.com
15	Anirban	Das	MD, DM	anirban.das13@gmail.com
18	John	Daubenton	FRACP, MD	John.daubenton@ths.tas.gov.au
15	Brian	Davies	FRAS (Pead Surg)	brian.davies@nuh.nhs.uk
21	Nicola	Davison		nicola.davison@luht.scot.nhs.uk
21	Joaquim Caetano	de Aguirre Neto	MD	caetanoaguirre@hotmail.com
12	Lourens	de Jager	MD	ldejager@icon.co.za
21	Helder	De Quintal	MBBCH	Hdequintal@gmail.com
19	Fatou Binetou	DIAGNE	MD	fabakonde@gmail.com
18	Igmacio	Díaz Saubidet	Md	nachodiazs@hotmail.com
21	BLANCA	DIEZ	MD	bdiez@fleni.org.ar
18	Caroline	Diorio	MD	caroline.diorio@medportal.ca
16	Gabriele	Dobrowoljski	MD	g_dobro@hotmail.com
21	Nuno Jorge	dos Reis farinha	MD	nuno.reis.farinha@gmail.com
21	SIMONE	DOS SANTOS AGUIAR	MDPhD	simone@boldrini.org.br

18	Chiara	Dr Besani	Dclin Senior Clinical Psychologist	besanic@tcd.ie
7	ZoAnn	Dreyer	MD	zedreyer@txch.org
18	Ximo	Duarte Calvete	MD	jduarte@ipolisboa.min-saude.pt
21	THABO	DUBE	BA	thabo23@yahoo.com
21	Anne	DUCASSOU	MD	ducassou.anne@iuct-oncopole.fr
21	Mary-Elizabeth Bradley	Eilertsen	RN, PhD, Dr. Philos	mary.e.eilertsen@hist.no
15	GRACIA	EKE	DM	kergracia@yahoo.com
18	Nader	El-Mallawany	MD	nader_kim@nymc.edu
21	Shady	Fadel	MD	Shady.fadel@alexmed.edu.eg
21	Ahmed	Farrag		afarrage8@hotmail.com
21	Oumaya	FAWAZ	MD	oumayafawaz@gmail.com
21	Najwa	Fayea	MD	Nagwafayea@hotmail.com
21	Israel	Fernandez-Pineda	MD	israel.fernandez-pineda@stjude.org
3	karen	fieggen	MD	karen.fieggen@uct.ac.za
18	Jose de Jesus	Figueroa Carbajal	MD	jesusfigueroacarbajal@yahoo.com.mx
18	Terrie	Flatt	DO	tgflatt@cmh.edu
21	Paulette E.	Forbes	APN	
21	Patricia	Forget	MD	patriciaforget@gmail.com
21	Joerg	Fuchs	MD	joerg.fuchs@med.uni-tuebingen.de
21	Jose L	Fuster	MD	josel.fuster@carm.es
17	hertzel	gabriel	md	gabriel_h@clalit.org.il1
21	Omnia	Gad El Rab	MD	o.nagy_hamid@yahoo.com
16	Amar	Gajjar	MD	amar.gajjar@stjude.org
21	Lorenza	Gandola	MD	lorenza.gandola@istitutotumori.mi.it
21	HANUMANTHARAO	GATTAMANENI	MD	rao.gattamaneni@christie.nhs.uk
21	Jennifer	Geel	MBChB FCPaed Cert Med Onc	jennifer.a.geel@gmail.com
15	Asad	Ghiasuddin	MD	asad@hawaii.edu
21	Cornelia	Gidding	MD, PhD	Corrie.gidding@radboudumc.nl
21	CESAR	GIMENEZ	MD	cesargimenezg@cantv.net
8	Richard	Glick	MD	rglick@nshs.edu
21	Yasmin	Goga	MBBCH	Yasmin.goga@gmail.com
21	Wendy	Gómez García	MD	emogenes@yahoo.com
21	Fernando Anibal	Gonzalez	MD	fergon130583@hotmail.com21
21	Oscar	Gonzalez Ramella	PhD	oscar.gonzalez@onkokid.com
18	Shirely	Gravett		nan.gravett@choc.org.za
12	Andrew	Grieve	MBBCH (MD)	a.grieve@me.com

19	Lorenz	Grigull	MD	grigull.lorenz@mh-hannover.de
11	Thomas	Gross	MD, PhD	thomas.gross@nih.gov
21	Stephan	Grupp	MD PhD	grupp@email.chop.edu
18	Florent	GUERIN	PhD	florent.guerin@aphp.fr
16	Pilar	Guerra-García	MD	guerra.garcia.p@gmail.com
14	Feryal	Gun Soysal	MD PhD	gunferyal@yahoo.com
21	Vinod	Gunasekaran	MD	gvinod86@gmail.com
12	Kirti	Gupta	MD	kirtigupta10@yahoo.co.in
18	Hanafy	Hafez	MD	hhafizmd135@yahoo.com
21	DEREK	HARRISON	MBChB	drdsharrison@hotmail.com
21	Camila	Hashimoto	MD	camilahashimoto@yahoo.com.br
18	Martin	Hasselblatt	MD	hasselblatt@uni-muenster.de
9	SHEHAAM ABBAS	HENDRICKS	BSocSc (Social Work)	Shehaamh@gmail.com
21	DANIEL	HERNANDEZ ARRAZOLA	MD	drdanielhdza@hotmail.com
21	Barbara	Hero	MD	barbara.hero@uk-koeln.de
20	Nele	Herregods	MD	nele_herregods@telenet.be
15	Laila	Hessissen	PhD; MD	laila_hsn@yahoo.fr
21	Linda	Hijmans		Linda.Hijmans@radboudumc.nl
21	Magnus Aassved	Hjort	MD	magnus.a.hjort@ntnu.no
6	Alain	Hocepied	MD	alainhocepied@gmail.com
18	Yoseph	Horovitz	MD	horovitz_y@clalit.org.il
15	David	Hughes	MB	david.hughes@rch.org.au
21	Patrick	Hundsdoerfer	MD	patrick.hundsdoerfer@charite.de
21	Stephen	Hunger	MD	hungers@email.chop.edu
21	Sophie	Huybrechts	MD	sophie.huybrechts@hudef.be
18	Michele	ILARI	MD	mimmi.tit@gmail.com
21	Helen	IRVING	MBBS FRACP	helen.irving@health.qld.gov.au
21	Prasad	Iyer	MBBS, MD, FRCPCH	drprasad_iyer@yahoo.co.uk
21	Nada	Jabado	MD, PhD	nada.jabado@mcgill.ca
21	Carolyn	Jackson	MD	jacksonc@mskcc.org
21	Norman	Jaffe	MD	J-dr@sbcglobal.net
21	Syed	Jafri	MD	jafrees2000@gmail.com
15	Antoinette	Jaspers-Bakker	MANP	antjasbak@gmail.com
21	SHALINI	JATIA		shalinijatia@hotmail.com
20	SHRUTIKA	JAVA	MD	shrutikamunot@gmail.com
21	Vinesh	Jeena	MbChb	jeenavin@gmail.com
21	Irene	Ji,üenew	MD	irene.jimene@curie.fr

18	Bibekanand	Jindal	MBBS, MCh (Pediatric Surgery)	drvjindal@gmail.com
21	Norbert	Jorch		Jorch-Bielefeld@t-online.de
10	swapna	joshi	M.Sc.nursing	jswapna96@yahoo.com
21	oppong-Ayisi	Joyce	RN	joyoppongayisi@gmail.com
18	Thais	Junqueira	MD	thaisjung@boldrini.org.br
21	Jukka	Kanerva	MD, PhD	jukka.kanerva@hus.fi
18	MEHMET	KANTAR	MD	mehmet.kantar@ege.edu.tr
18	MEHMET	KANTAR	MD	mkantar2001@yahoo.com
21	Cyril	Karabus	MD	cyril.karabus@uct.ac.za
15	Nicole	Karras	MD	nkarras@coh.org
18	ohoud	kashari	MD	dr_ok1980@hotmail.com
20	Gertjan	Kaspers	MD	gjl.kaspers@vumc.nl
21	Christian	Kebelmann-Betzing	MD	christian-kebelmann-betzing@t-online.de
21	Rejin	Kebudi	MD, Prof.	rejinkebudi@yahoo.com
15	Anna	Kelsey	MD	anna.kelsey@cmft.nhs.uk
18	Hassan	Khalife	MD	Drhasskha@yahoo.com
20	Muhammad Saghir	Khan	MBBS, MCPS, FCPS	drsaghirkhan@hotmail.com
21	Muhammad faisal	Khanani	MBB FRCPCH	Smilesaif@hotmail.com
21	muhammad faisal	khanani	MBBS MRCP	smilesaif@hotmail.com
20	Arifa	Khatoon	RN, BScN	arifamufti@yahoo.com
18	May Ling	Khaw		may3khaw@gmail.com
15	Anita	Kienesberger		a.kienesberger@kinderkrebshilfe.at
21	Bo Sung	Kim	MD	verokim75@hotmail.com
18	Wouter	Kollen	MD, PhD	w.j.w.kollen@lumc.nl
21	Rianne	Koning	MSc	rienne.koning@radboudumc.nl
14	Udo	Kontny	MD	ukontny@ukaachen.de
20	Kathelijne	Kraal	MD	K.C.J.Kraal@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
14	Chandan	Kumar	Graduate	chandankumarkck@gmail.com
21	Nilgün	Kurucu	MD	nlgnkrc@gmail.com
21	Nilgun	Kurucu	MD	nlgnkrc@gmail.com
18	Harsha Prasada	L	MD, DCH, DNB, MRCPCH, CCT	drlhprasad@gmail.com
21	Herwig	Lackner	MD	herwig.lackner@edunigraz.at
21	Harsha Prasada	Lashkari	MD,DCH, DNB, MRCPCH	drlhprasad@gmail.com
16	Siddhartha	Laskar	MD	laskars2000@yahoo.com
21	ALVARO	LASSALETTA	MD	lassaalvaro@yahoo.com

16	Olubunmi Adeola	Lawal	MBChB	bumsyaw@yahoo.com
18	Elaine	Lawrie	MPharm	lawrie_elaine@hotmail.com
12	Jae Wook	Lee	MD, PhD	dashwood@catholic.ac.kr
21	York Tien	Lee	MD	lee.york.tien@kkh.com.sg
21	Vera	Lepheana	SW	Verahlep@gmail.com
21	Moselantja Vera	Lepheana	SW	Verahlep@gmail.com
18	Chi-kong	Li	MD	ckli@cuhk.edu.hk
21	Jaime	Libes	MD	jlibes23@gmail.com
18	Jia Wei	Lim	MBBS	limjiawei.91@gmail.com
15	Hong Pheng Amos	Loh	MBBS	Amos.loh.hp@kkh.com.sg
21	Jacqueline	Loonen	MD PhD	jacqueline.loonen@radboudumc.nl
21	Ricardo	Lopez Almaraz	MD	rlopezgreat@gmail.com
21	Bendik	Lund	MD, PhD	Bendik.Lund@stolav.no
18	Limin	Ma	MSc	limin.ma@ki.se
18	Gemma	Macey	MBChB	gmacey@nhs.net
21	Lucyna	Maciejka-Kemblowska	MD, PhD	lucynamaciejka@tlen.pl
21	Marianne	Madsen	Nurse	marianne.madsen.03@regionh.dk
21	Elelwani Innocentia	Madzhia	MBChB	Innocentiamadzhia@yahoo.com
21	BIGBOY	MADZIVANZIRA	HEALTH EDUCATION PROMOTION	zimpcca@gmail.com
21	Paula	Mahon	DHealth	pmahon@cw.bc.ca
15	Belinda	Mandrell	PhD, RN	belinda.mandrell@stjude.org
21	Barnabas	Manlokiya Raymond	RN	manlokiya@yahoo.com
12	Osman Gani	Mansur		ogmansur@yahoo.com
21	Maria cristina	Mata Fernández	MD	cristina.mata@salud.madrid.org
21	Cristina	Mata Fernández	MD	cristina.mata@salud.madrid.org
18	Leonard	Mattano	MD	mattano@umich.edu
21	Anthony	McCarthy	FRCPCH MMed Sc	anthony.mccarthy@belfasttrust.hscni.net
12	Patricia	McCusker	RN	patricia_mccusker@yahoo.com
15	David P	Meagher, Jr	MD	burndoc48@gmail.com
14	AIKATERINI	MEGALAKAKI	MD	mega_kat@yahoo.gr
21	Mary	Mensah	RN	lornarenner@gmail.com
21	Lüder H.	Meyer	MD	lueder-hinrich.meyer@uniklinik-ulm.de
21	Nomvula	Mnyandu	social auxiliary	susannah.kriel@wits.ac.za
21	Erling	Moe	MD	erling.moe@stolav.no
21	Elizabeth	Molyneux	MBBS	emmolyneux@gmail.com
21	Veerle	Mondelaers	MD	Veerle.mondelaers@uzgent.be

21	Jessica	Morgan	MBChB	jem539@york.ac.uk
15	Daniel	Morgenstern	MB BChir PhD FRCPCH	daniel.morgenstern@gosh.nhs.uk
12	Bruce	Morland	MBChB	bruce.morland@bch.nhs.uk
12	Lisa	Morrissey	MPH MSN RN	lisa.morrissey@childrens.harvard.edu
18	Simone	Mozzilli	MD	simone@beaba.org
18	Christopher	Mpofu	MBChB	chris.mpofu@saskcancer.ca
18	anne	muigai	RN	annejebet@yahoo.com
18	ZUKISA	MUKWEVHO		zukisa.mukwevho@wits.ac.za
18	Jamie	Murphy	MD	jamie.murphy@seattlechildrens.org
21	Andersson	Nathalie	RN	nathalie.andersson@huderf.be
21	Khanyisile Patricia	Ndlovu	RN	patkhanyi@gmail.com
18	Beverley	Neethling	MB.Ch.B	beverleynee@gmail.com
9	Brigitte	Nelken	MD	brigitte.nelken@chru-lille.fr
21	Vhutshilo	Netshituni	Mbchb	Vutsh@hotmail.com
21	Marie	Neu	MD	marie-astrid.neu@unimedizin-mainz.de
18	Chikhulupiliro	Ng'ombe		cancersurvivorsquest@gmail.com
15	Gary	Nicolin	MBChB, MRCPCH	gary.nicolin@nhs.net
21	SAGAR	NIVARGI	DNB	drsagar5@gmail.com
18	Festus	Njuguna	MD	muigaifes2000@yahoo.com
21	Amanda	Nordoff	RN child	amandanisbet@hotmail.com
18	Blessing	Nwaukwa	LLB	blessingdollars21@gmail.com
21	PAUL	OBENG	B. Pharm. (Hons), MPh.	paul.obeng@yahoo.com
21	Loes	Odekerken-Gielen		Loesgielen@hotmail.com
18	Ugonna	Offor	MbChb	ugonns_offor@yahoo.com
18	Ugonna	Offor	MbChB	ugonna_offor@yahoo.com
21	bruce	okoye	MBBS	bruceokoye@hotmail.com
21	Erik	Omling	MD	erik.omling@gmail.com
21	JOYCE	OPPONG-AYISI	RN	joyoppongayisi@gmail
18	Daniel	Orbach	MD	daniel.orbach@curie.fr
18	David	Orren		davidhad@zahav.net.il
21	MARIA JOSE	ORTEGA	MD	m.ortega.sspa@juntadeandalucia.es
21	LAWRENCE	OSEI-TUTU	MD	oseitutlaw@gmail.com
21	Bellamlih Mamou	Oumlreit	MD	ritabellamlih@gmail.com
21	George	Owusu Achempim	MPH	george.achempim@worldchildcancer.org
21	Roger	Packer	Md	Rpacker@childrensnational.org
18	Maria	Paiva	PharmD	mpaiva@sidra.org

21	Maria	Paiva	PharmD	mpaiva@sidra.org
15	Niklas	Pal	MD	niklas.pal@karolinska.se
18	Lisandra	Panzoldo dos Santos		lipanzoldo@gmail.com
12	Philippe	Paquier	PhD	philippe.paquier@ulb.ac.be
21	ana maria gloria	paredes guerra	md PhD	gloriaparedes2000@yahoo.com
15	Yatin	Patel	MBBCh	yatinpatel1@nhs.net
21	Amy	Pearson	RN	amy3211@gmail.com
21	Helen	Pearson	MSC	helenpearson85@hotmail.co.uk
18	Annemarie	Peek	MD	a.m.l.peek@umcg.nl
21	NEVICOLINO	PEREIRA DE CARVALHO FILHO	MD	carvalhoepd@uol.com.br
15	Pernilla	Pergert	RN, PhD	pernilla.pergert@ki.se
15	Cecilia	Petersen	MD, PhD	cecilia.etersen@karolinska.se
21	YUFANYI	PHILIPPA VUYEHVUTSEM	Bsc Nursing.	nanaphil2003@yahoo.com
21	Susan	Picton	BM BS	susan.picton@nhs.net
21	Filomena	Pierri	MD	filomenapietri@alice.it
21	Rob	Pieters	MD PhD MSc	r.pieters@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
21	Rob	Pieters	MD PhD MSc	r.pieters@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
12	Sari	Pietilä	MD, PhD	sari.pietila@sci.fi
12	Barry	Pizer	MB ChB	bpizer@liv.ac.uk
21	Janet	Poole	MBBCh	Janet.Poole@wits.ac.za
12	Mikaela	Porkholm	MD	mikaela.porkholm@helsinki.fi
21	Carlos Andrés	Portilla Figueroa	MD	drportilla@yahoo.com
21	Milanthi	Pourier	MsC	milanthi.pourier@radboudumc.nl
21	REGINA	PUKENIS DE CASTRO NEVES	MD	regupuke@yahoo.com.br
20	Rehana	Punjwani	BsN	rehana_punjwani@yahoo.com
21	Abid	Qazi		abidqazi@skm.org.pk
15	shahina	qureshi	MD	shahinaq@hotmail.com
21	Ashraf	Radwan Mohsen	MD	akzradwan@yahoo.com
2	Helen	Raffalli-Ebezant	MbChb	helent84@doctors.org.uk
18	Susanne	Ragg	MD, PhD	sragg@iupui.edu
21	bruno	rago	Biology	bruno.rago@jazzpharma.com
18	REVATHI	RAJ	MBBS, DCH, FRCPATH	revaraj@yahoo.com
18	Juliana	RAMIANDRISOA	MD	juliana.randrianasolo@chu-reunion.fr
21	Asim	Rana	MD	ANRana@dha.gov.ae
21	Asim	Rana	MD	asimrana@doctors.org.uk
21	Rikke	Randrup Møller	Nurse	rikke.moeller@rsyd.dk
21	Roel	Reddingius	MD PhD	r.reddingius@erasmusmc.nl

21	Lorna	Renner	MB,CHB	lornarenner@gmail.com
21	khaled	riad	MD	khaledfriad@gmail.com
21	Carina	Rinaldo	APN	carina.rinaldo@karolinska.se
18	CARMELO	RIZZARI	MD	c.rizzari@hsgerardo.org
10	SARAH	ROCHE	PhD	sarah.roche@jazzpharma.com
21	David	Rodeberg	MD	evansar@ecu.edu
21	Paul	Rogers	MB ChB	progers@cw.bc.ca
21	Christian	Ruebe	MD, PhD	christian.ruebe@uks.eu
21	ida	russo	MD	ida.russo@hotmail.it
21	vennarini	sabina	md	sabina.vennarini@apss.tn.it
21	Zsila	Sadighi	MD	zsila.sadighi@stjude.org
12	M. Constantine	Samaan	M.D.	samaanc@mcmaster.ca
21	CARLOS EDUARDO	SANCHEZ MONTENEGRO	MD	carlossanchezmontenegro@gmail.com
18	Sabine	Sarnacki	MD,Ph.D	sabine.sarnacki@aphp.fr
15	AGGOUN	SARRA		akoubache.infocom@gmail.com
10	Natasha	Sasankan	MBBS ,DCH ,MRCPCH	natashasasankan@hotmail.com
21	Jairam	Sastry	MBBS, MD(Paed), MRCP (UK), FRCPCH	jairam.sastry@ggc.scot.nhs.uk
18	Axel	Sauerbrey	MD	axel.sauerbrey@helios-kliniken.de
21	Dorothee	Schmid	Dipl.-Psych.	dorotheeschmid@web.de
21	Dominik	Schneider	MD PhD	dr.dominik.schneider@googlemail.com
21	Judith	Schoeman	Dietician	judy.schoeman@gmail.com
18	Carlos Alberto	Scrideli	MD, PhD	scrideli@fmrp.usp.br
18	Guido	Seitz	MD	guido.seitz@med.uni-tuebingen.de
21	santanu	Sen	MD	kalpesh@nucleusindia.net
18	JAIME	SHALKOW	MD, FACS	drshalkow@gmail.com
14	Robert	Shamberger	MD	robert.shamberger@childrens.harvard.edu
2	gamliel	sharom		sgamliel@kenes.com
18	steve	shochat	MD	stephen.shochat@stjude.org
5	barry	shulkin	MD	barry.shulkin@stjude.org
21	CORRINE	SIN QUEE-BROWN	MBBS	sinqueebrown@gmail.com
21	Ponni	Sivaprakasam	MD	toughmind@gmail.com
21	Ponni	Sivaprakasam	MD	Toughmind@gmail.com
18	Tracy-lee	Sivil	RNC	tracy@fitnay.co.uk
21	Jane	Skeen	MD	janes@adhb.govt.nz
21	Irene	Slavc	MD	irene.slavc@meduniwien.ac.at
18	Jeremy	Slone	MD, MPH	jsslone@txch.org

21	Bridget	Smith	RN	bridget@adhb.govt.nz
21	Elizabeth	Sniderman	APN	liz.sniderman@gmail.com
21	sally	spencer	RGN, RN Child	sally,spencer@bch.nhs.uk
21	sally	spencer	RGN, RN(child), PGDip advanced Nurse Practice	sally.spencer@bch.nhs.uk
13	Roly	Squire	FRCS	r.squire@nhs.net
10	Martin	Šrámek	Mgr.	sramek.martin@centrum.cz
21	Clare	Stannard	MB.BS, FF Rad Onc (SA)	Clare.Stannard@uct.ac.za
21	Brikita	Starke-Wagenaar	MANP (student)	b.starke@kinderthuiszorg.nl
21	Anna-Lena	Steffen		anna-lena.mazhari@uk-essen.de
18	Ran	Steinberg	MD	ranst3800@gmail.com
12	Anthe	Sterkenburg	MD	a.s.sterkenburg@gmail.com
21	Clemens	Stockklausner	MD	clemens@stockklausner.com
20	Caron	Strahlendorf	MD	cstrahlendorf@cw.bc.ca
21	Jon	Strasser	MD	jonstrasser@hotmail.com
18	Katharina	Strittmatter	cand. med.	kstrittm@stundets.uni-mainz.de
21	esther	sulkers	PhD, RN	e.sulkers@umcg.nl
18	EDDY	SUPRIYADI	Phd, SpA(K)	e.supriyadi@gadjahmada.edu
21	Jozef	Suvada	Assoc Prof MD PhD MPH eMBA	jose.suvada@gmail.com
15	Marie-Dominique	TABONE	MD	marie-dominique.tabone@aphp.fr
21	Piti	Techavichit	MD	pitae_t@hotmail.com
19	Irene	Teichert-von Luettichau	MD,PhD	irene.teichert-vonLuettichau@mri.tum.de
21	Chantal	Tersteeg		c.tersteeg@kinderthuiszorg.nl
6	Hemanshoo	Thakkar	MBBS, BSc, MRCS	hemanshoo@gmail.com
21	Harald	Thomassen	MD	haraldthomassen@hotmail.com
21	Wim	Tissing	MD, PhD	w.j.e.tissing@umcg.nl
15	gulnur	tokuc	MD	gtokuc@superonline.com
17	Luiz Gonzaga	Tone	MD, PHD	lgtone@fmrp.usp.br
21	DANIEL	TORO-AVILA	DR.	danieltoroavila@hotmail.com
18	Amita	Trehan	MD	trehanamita@hotmail.com
21	Deniz	Tugcu	MD	tugcudeniz@gmail.com
21	Oliver	Tunstall	MB BChir PhD	oliver.tunstall@uhbristol.nhs.uk
20	Lucy	Turnham	MD	lturnham@cw.bc.ca
21	Godelieve	Tytgat	MD, PhD	g.a.tytgat@amc.nl
12	Zaheer	Ullah	MBBS	drzaheerullah@hotmail.com

21	Urmila	Uparkar	MD	urmilaparkar@gmail.com
21	Ramya	Uppuluri	MD	ramya.december@gmail.com
20	Ghazala Naheed	Usmani	MD	naheed.usmani@umassmemorial.org
15	Archana	Vallonthaiel	MD	dr.archanageorge@gmail.com
21	An	Van Damme	MD	An.vandamme@uclouvain.be
21	Veronique	Van de Velde	Rn	Veronique.vandevelde@uzgent.be
21	Machiel	van den Akker	MD	machioldakker@gmail.com
21	MM	van den Heuvel-Eibrink	Professor	m.m.vandenheuvel-eibrink@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
21	Nathalie	Van der Salm	MD	Nathalie.vandersalm@radboudumc.nl
20	JOHN	VAN DOORNINCK	MD	john.vandoorninck@hcahealthcare.com
21	Natasha	van eijkelenburg	MD	n.k.a.vaneijkelenburg-2@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
21	Barry	van Emmenes	MBChB, FCPaediatrics	barry.vanemmenes@gmail.com
6	Ann Louise	Van Eyssen	MBChB	ann.vaneyssen@uct.ac.za
21	Martine	van Grotel	MD PhD	m.vangrotel@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
21	Jaques	van Heerden	MD	jaquesvanheerden@hotmail.com
15	Elsje	Van Rijswijk	MB.ChB. MRCPCH	elsjevr@me.com
21	Anel	Van Zyl	MB ChB, FC Paeds(SA), MMed(Paeds), Cert Med Oncology	Anel.vanZyl@westerncape.gov.za
20	Anel	Van Zyl	MD	Anel.vanZyl@westerncape.gov.za
21	Stephanie	Vanclooster		stephanie.vanclooster@vub.ac.be
21	Ali	Varan	MD	avaran@hacettepe.edu.tr
21	Liliana	Vasquez	MD	lilianavasq@gmail.com
21	Anu	Vatanen	MD	anu.vatanen@fimnet.fi
21	Ronel	Venter	RN	ronel.venter10@gmail.com
20	Karina	Viani	RD	viani.karina@gmail.com
16	Terry	Vik	MD	tvik@iu.edu
21	Nomveliso	Vika	RN	nomveliso.vika64@gmail.com
21	Ruth	Volland	Master	ruth.volland@uk-koeln.de
21	Dietrich	von Schweinitz	MD, PhD	dietrich.schweinitz@med.uni-muenchen.de
12	Britta	Vormoor	MD	britta.vormoor@ncl.ac.uk
15	Gordan	Vujanic	MD, PhD, FRCPath	vujanic@cf.ac.uk
10	Jenny	Walker	MB ChB	j.walker222@btinternet.com
15	Valerie	Wallace	RN	valerie.wallace@belfasttrust.hscni.net

18	Steven	Warmann	MD	steven.warmann@med.uni-tuebingen.de
16	Daniel	Wechsler	MD, PhD	dan.wechsler@duke.edu
21	Sabrina	Wehde	MBBCh FCPaeds	colsmith@global.co.za
21	Finn	Wesenberg	PhD, MD	finn.wesenberg@rikshospitalet.no
12	Kate	Wheeler	MB BS	kate.wheeler@paediatrics.ox.ac.uk
21	Abby	White	B.Sc	abby@wechope.org
21	MHWA	Wijnen	MD, PhD	Mwijnen@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
15	Leen	Willems	MD PhD	leen.willems@uzgent.be
21	Shaun	Wilson	MD	shaun.wilson@ouh.nhs.uk
15	SARAH	WINTER	MD	sarahwinter_36@hotmail.com
21	Peggy	Wong	MD	peggy.wong@cw.bc.ca
21	Curtis	Wong	Rn	Curtisg.wong@ucsf.edu
18	Devendra Kumar	yadav	MS, mCh(pediatric surgery)	drdevendra@hotmail.com
18	BILGEHAN	YALCIN	MD	bilgehanyalcin@yahoo.com
21	Reguerre	Yves	MD	yves.reguerre@chu-reguerre.fr
21	Tatjana	Zaharov	MD PhD	tatjana.zaharov@rsyd.dk

47th Congress of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology (SIOP 2015) Committee Listing and Disclosure

[illegible]

Speakers' Portal
SIOP15 CME Disclosure Form Results

<u>Last Name</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Roles</u>	<u>Relationships</u>	<u>Company Name</u>	<u>Honoraria/ Expenses</u>	<u>Consulting/ Advisory Board</u>	<u>Funded Research</u>	<u>Royalties/ Patent</u>	<u>Stock Options</u>	<u>Ownership/ Equity Position</u>	<u>Employee</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Off Label Product Use</u>
Abbey	Patience	Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Agarwala	Sandeep	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
André	Nicolas	Invited Speaker/Faculty Session Moderator/Chair/Coordinator	Yes	Sanofi-Aventis		X							
Anis	Huma	Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Arora	Brijesh	Invited Speaker/Faculty Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Banavali	Shripad	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										In Metronomic Therapy, many of the already approved drugs are used in lower doses and also many drugs are "repurposed". However there is published data on all the drugs used. All drugs are FDA approved, though for a different indication.
Bang	Samuel Dimitè	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
Basset	Luisa	Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Bisogno	Gianni	Invited Speaker/Faculty Session Moderator/Chair/Coordinator	Yes	Indena			X						I will mention drugs potentially useful in the future treatment of pateinst with rhabdomyosarcoma. I will not support the use of specificic drugs
Boterberg	Tom	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
Brink	Anita	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
Burns	Liz	Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Calaminus	Gabriele	Session Moderator/Chair/Coordinator Poster/Oral Presenter Board of Directors Committee (CME Research other)	No										
Carrihill	Michelle	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
Cozzi	Denis	Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Davidson	Alan	Scientific/Education Planning Committee	No										
Dollman	Kenneth	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
Doz	François	Scientific/Education Planning Committee Invited Speaker/Faculty Session Moderator/Chair/Coordinator Poster/Oral Presenter Committee (CME Research other)	No	Novartis	X	X	X						
Ducassou	Anne	Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Eden	Tim	Invited Speaker/Faculty Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Folbrecht	Jeanelle	Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Friedrich	Paolo	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
Fuchs	Joerg	Invited Speaker/Faculty Session Moderator/Chair/Coordinator Poster/Oral Presenter	No										
Gaspar	Nathalie	Invited Speaker/Faculty	No										
Grupp	Stephan	Invited Speaker/Faculty Poster/Oral Presenter	Yes	Novartis	X	X	X						discussing unapproved agents

[illegible]

